

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Office action dated May 27, 2005, and the references cited therein have been carefully reviewed.

Claims 11-12 and 16-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zerbel. Moreover, claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zerbel. Claims 14-15 are indicated to contain allowable subject matter. Claim 18 is allowed.

By the above amendment, claim 11 has been amended to include the limitations of claim 14 and claim 14 has been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer. Therefore, claim 11 is now believed to be allowable.

Claims 12-13 and 15-17 are dependent from claim 11 and are therefore allowable for the same reasons as claim 11.

Each issue raised in the Office action dated May 27, 2005, has been addressed and it is believed that claims 11-13, 15-17, and 18 are in condition for allowance. Wherefore, examination and allowance of these claims is earnestly solicited. Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,
DENNISON, SCHULTZ,
DOUGHERTY & MACDONALD



By:

Amir H. Behnia
Reg. No. 50,215
(703) 837-9600 Ext. 16